Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

001004 SUBPART NUMBERING

Submitter: THOMAS SHAW

SI Recommendation

Implementing this request would impact the master text for all three Agencies, and would require significant software changes.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Many Architects doing work for the private sector (and in compliance with CSI's Section Format and Page Format) choose to number the Sub-Parts differently from what is currently allowed in SI. Instead of beginning their numbering with Part 1, and following with Subpart 1.1, and then with Subpart 1.2., they number utilizing Part 1, then Subpart 1.A, and following with Subpart 1.B. Our firm is wanting to use SI, but about 90% of our architectural clients don't use the numbering system as SI is currently configured.

See Continuation On Page 2.

Board Comments

Pending Analysis by Development Team.

Presented once again at the November 21, 2002 board meeting. Board changed 1620 status to accepted.

Submitter's Recommendation

Board Reviewed - Nov 21, 2002

Date Completed:
Assigned Rating: 1
Programming Estimate: 1 year

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM Page 1 of 29

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

001008 REFERENCE REPORT MODIFICATION

Submitter: CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

SI Recommendation

It is our recommendation to implement this in a future 32-bit release. It would also be helpful to add additional identifying information to the reports, such as Job/Master name, directory and date.

Additional Notes

7/26/02, 10:17:18 AM - FITZSCL - There are several approved 1620s that should be worked in conjunction with this enhancement, all having to do with report changes.

991013; 001023; 01-035 and 02-020

6/7/2004, 10:05:32 AM - HORVAJR - Per Cheryl Fitz Simon: This CR was one of the ones that had been put in a long time ago, but is still valid. The purpose of the CR was to get the Reference Title Report (Title Discrepancies) broken out as it's own report instead of being grouped in with the Reference Verification Report. This way the users and Master Text preparers are not forced to print it when they only want to see the Unresolved References.

Unfortunately, this is a tricky and complicated area and it will take some time. One of the problems we still have with the Reports is the "Forced Print". If you use the "Process Only" against your entire Job, then r-click on the Reference Verification Report (because that is all you want), you get all the associated Reference Reports. Same thing when you want to print just the Submittal Verification Report you are "forced" to print the Submittal Register.

This is still an area that needs a lot of work! It is frustrating to the users. We give them the choice to print their reports but force them to print things they do not select!

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Presently the Reference Verification Report, Duplicate References and Title Discrepancy Reports print as individual reports. From the Print Menu under the report options you only have the option to select the "Reference Verification Report.. There is no separate option to print the Duplicate References and the Title Discrepancy Reports. Regardless you will get all three reports when you request the Reference Verification Report. Due to several calls from our Users, I recommend that the "Reference Verification Report" and "Duplicate Reference Report" be combined into one report (see attached example). Add a separate report selection for the "Title Discrepancy Report". This will not only save paper but make it easier for the Users to identify all the Reference related errors on report. Also, by giving them the choice of printing the "Title Discrepancy Report" will save a tremendous amount of paper and aggravation since the current process prints the report whether they want it or not. The Submittal Verification Report is already done this way.

Board Comments

32-Bit Application

Board Reviewed - Jul 18, 2000

Date Completed:
Assigned Rating: 3
Programming Estimate: 2 months

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM Page 2 of 29

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

001011 PRINT ENHANCEMENT - DOUBLE LINES

Submitter: MARTHA MULLER

SI Recommendation

This would not require extensive programming to implement in the software, and so we recommend it for the new software only.

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

001012 32-BIT ARCHIVE FEATURE

Submitter: THOMAS ADAMS

SI Recommendation

We recommend this be implemented in a future release of the 32-bit version of SpecsIntact.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Under the Print Options add the capability to print lines double spaced.

Board Reviewed - Jul 18, 2000

Date Completed:
Assigned Rating: 5

Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

Board Comments

This has been suggested by several engineers who edit sections on paper. This allows more room for editing and markup.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

SpecsIntact 32 will be organized is such a way that there will be multiple directories (Processed, PDF, PDF1, PDF2, 30%, 60%, 90%, BID, WordSpec). When the job goes final, the user will want to archive the job. When they archive the job they will want to archive the final product not all of the steps that got them to final.

Build into SpecsIntact 32 an "Archive Job" function. This function will remove all directories except for the latest PDF (Amendment Directory), Pulldata Directory and the WordSpec directory. Additionally it should generate print files with all reconciliation's then rename the PRN files to SEC. Icing on the cake would be to give the user the ability to compress (Zip) the job as part of the Archive Process. This will give the user a version of the Job that looks exactly like the printed Job that was submitted.

Board Comments

Submitter's Recommendation

Build into SpecsIntact 32 an "Archive Job" function. This function will remove all directories except for the latest PDF (Amendment Directory), Pulldata Directory and the WordSpec directory. Additionally it should generate print files with all reconciliation's then rename the PRN files to SEC. Icing on the cake would be to give the user the ability to compress (Zip) the job as part of the Archive Process. This will give the user a version of the Job that looks exactly like the printed Job that was submitted.

Board Reviewed - Dec 05, 2000

Date Completed:
Assigned Rating: 4

Programming Estimate: 3 months

Version:

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

001026 NEW TAG

Submitter: DOUG LARSEN

SI Recommendation

We recommend this feature be implemented. A tag could be created giving the user the option of printing attachments on the STOC and where to list these attachments at the beginning or end of the STOC.

Additional Notes

7/30/02, 2:36:49 PM - FITZSCL - This should be worked with 02-007 and 02-008. This will possibly affect the FrontEnd, SI Editor, prntproc32.dll, prntjob.dll.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Create a tag for specification section attachment which will show up on the section table of contents. Often we have to attach documents that are not apart of SpecsIntact such as permits, etc.

Date Completed:

Assigned Rating: 5
Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - Dec 05, 2000

Version:

Board Comments

Implement for PTOC and STOC (Low Priority - After 32-bit Editor is FULLY FUNCTIONAL)

NAL)

Submitter's Recommendation

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

001027 SPECSINTACT ENHANCEMENT

Submitter: JOE LOONEY

SI Recommendation

We recommend this be implemented in a future release of the 32-bit version of SpecsIntact.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Provide the capability to add section(s) into a Job/Master straight from a website. In the case of Navy, the NAVFAC website will often have the latest updates available. It would be beneficial to add these sections into a Job/Master without leaving SpecsIntact.

Board Comments

Submitter's Recommendation

Board Reviewed - Dec 05, 2000

Date Completed: Assigned Rating: 4

Programming Estimate: 3 months

Version:

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

TAILORING OPTION ENHANCEMENT 01-007

Submitter: CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

SI Recommendation

The suggestion to optionally show selected tags during printing has been previously rejected by the SI-CCCB as being too time-consuming. Still, this suggestion has appeal, in that it would allow easier identification of certain tagged information. The suggestion has been made several times. It could not be readily accomplished in WordSpec, however.

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

01-023 MODIFY SI3 BROWSE LIST

Submitter: PATRICIA ROBINSON

SI Recommendation

This is a good suggestion, although it will require some work to implement. Using the standard Windows common dialog box, which shows the user's desktop, rather than the older Visual Basic version that we currently employ would be a good improvement.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Provide the capability/option to print the tags for Jobs/Masters. The Tailoring Option is not utilized as intended since the majority of the specifiers are marking up the sections from a paper copy and not from SpecsIntact. The **Programming Estimate:** specifier has no way of knowing where these tailoring options exist in the technical sections. Army places the Tailoring information in the notes but this does not tell them what is tailored and where. Now that the Army and Navy are combining into one Master, UFGS, this will be even more of an issue.

Board Comments

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001

Date Completed:

Assigned Rating: 2

Submitter's Recommendation

Add the capability to optionally show the tailoring tags during print as we do with Notes, Revisions and Tags. This will allow the Tailoring Option to be utilized as it was originally intended and make the markup of the technical sections more efficient.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

When bouncing between Windows Explorer (for whatever reason) and all of the SpecsIntact browse boxes, it is confusing. The two display different directory structures.

Board Comments

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Date Completed:

Assigned Rating: 4

Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001

Submitter's Recommendation

Modify the SpecsIntact browse boxes to display the directory structure as in Windows Explorer. For Example: Desktop \ My Computer \ C:\ then all of the other drive letters.

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM

Title of Prob/Requirements Control Number

HEADER ON A RENAMED JOB 01-041

Submitter: JOE LOONEY

SI Recommendation

Recommend providing users with the capability of returning the default settings or to keep current settings when renaming or duplicating jobs.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Renaming a job indicates starting a new or different job, as opposed to duplicating a job which most likely implies a variation or modification of a job that will basically remain the same.

Board Comments Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

When renaming a job, default print settings should be applied. In particular, the header footer settings should be reset to the default fields. (This does not apply when duplicating a job.) As an alternative, there could be another option/selection available when renaming or duplicating a job that asks the user whether to return default setting or keep existing print settings. (There are other settings such as English/metric that could also come under this same option.)

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

01-043 CREATION OF LEVELS GREATER THAN 4 IN THE EDITOR

Submitter: TERRY VITT

SI Recommendation

This would take some time to implement, but it is feasible. Currently, the validation log displays an error stating you have nested a subpart to deeply. If the user does not view the validation log the error is not detected until the review of the printed section file or STOC. This will also cause problems with the section table of contents (TOC) (a # sign is indicated on the TOC). Recommend this feature be implemented in the re-write of the 32-bit SI Editor.

Additional Notes

07/17/02 - FITZSCL - While determining the rating of the Open 1620s the SpecsIntact Staff agreed that in light of the direction that we may be taking to go to Uniformat Specifications that this 1620 needs to be represented to the Board for reconsideration and possibly withdrawing this request. Therefore, this request is being placed on hold until the next SI-CCCB Board Meeting to be held in November 2002.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

SpecsIntact doesn't recognize level 5 paragraphs (i.e. 1.9.1.1.1), as in it will not print these paragraph numbers (it prints them as 1.9.1.1.#). No problem here, and I understand the reasoning for stopping the levels at Level 4. But, the SpecsIntact Editor does allow the creation of subpart levels greater than 4 **Programming Estimate:** (i.e. 1.9.1.1.5, 1.9.1.1.1.6, 1.9.1.1.1.7, etc). This is creating a problem for designers, as the spec writers/editors, creating any number of subpart levels and then catching these errors before the project is advertised. Is it possible to modify the Editor so that it doesn't allow the creation of subpart levels greater than 4?

Board Comments

Board Reviewed - Nov 14, 2001

Board Reviewed - Nov 14, 2001

Date Completed:

Programming Estimate:

Assigned Rating: 4

Date Completed: Assigned Rating: 3

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

Please modify the Editor so that it doesn't allow the creation of subpart levels greater than 4.

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM Page 6 of 29

Title of Prob/Requirements Control Number

01-047 NEW ENHANCEMENT TO THE PRINT OPTION

Submitter: CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

SI Recommendation

This feature would be very helpful to our users as well as the SpecsIntact Support and Development team. Not to mention the cost savings for paper usage. Recommend for new 32-bit SI Editor (after initial release).

Additional Notes

Control Number

Title of Prob/Requirements ENHANCEMENT FOR BACKUP/RESTORE/MANAGE 01-051

FEATURE

Submitter: CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

SI Recommendation

This would be a good feature to incorporate since the users have no way to backup the SI Documents other than copying them through Windows Explorer.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Add capability to the 32-bit Editor and the 32-bit Process & Print menu to "Print Current Page."

Board Comments

Draft page to be printed from Editor. Page Preview to be added to Print Process in the 32-bit version.

Submitter's Recommendation

This feature would be very helpful to our users as well as the SpecsIntact Support and Development team.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Currently SpecsIntact gives the users the capability to backup Other Files such as the Supplement Reference List and the Section Template(s) Selected Below. We do not provide the users the capability to backup the SI Documents such as their Project Information Pages, Cover Pages and Bid Schedules that our users create. The Section Templates can easily be retrieved by one of two ways, copying them from C:\Program Files\SpecsIntact\Templates to the Working Directory or by re-installing SpecsIntact. The users do not have a way to retrieve the SI Document Templates if they are lost.

Board Comments

11/14/01 - Deferred for further investigation.

06/13/02 - Request was reviewed at the SI-CCCB meeting and it was accepted.

Submitter's Recommendation

Add the current option to backup "Other Files".

Board Reviewed - Nov 14, 2001

Date Completed: Assigned Rating: 3

Programming Estimate: 5 months

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002

Date Completed: Assigned Rating: 4

Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Version:

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

COMBINE STOC WITH PDF FILES MODIFICATION 01-052

Submitter: NEIL ANDERSON

SI Recommendation

This would be a good enhancement for SpecsIntact 3 although it would require significant software changes. Incorporate the option to control numbering even if the STOC is combined with the Section. Also, add a new feature not to combine the STOC and Section. This gives our users more flexibility on how they want the PDF files handled.

Same as 01-028 which was deferred at the May 2, 2001 Meeting. This 1620 does provide more detail.

Additional Notes

04/04/02 - CLF: When this feature is implemented it should also be tested with the PDF/Publish Tool.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

When creating PDF files for a job, under "Process and Print" in the "Report" tab, the "Combine Sections and Section Tables of Contents (STOC)" box is checked and is rendered unchangeable. This adds the toc with each section. The first page of the processed "section" (combined w/STOC) is numbered as **Programming Estimate:** page one. The first page of the actual section is no longer page 1. EX: 2 page toc, 5 page section. The job printed to PDF contains seven pages. The first page of the STOC is "Section ????? page 1" and the first page of the actual section is "Section ????? page 3".

Board Comments

06/13/02 - Change Request was deferred and reviewed at the SI-CCCB meeting. It was accepted to enable the options in PDF that are currently available for the printed hard copy.

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002

Date Completed:

Assigned Rating: 2

Submitter's Recommendation

The box should not be checked. Page numbering should be permitted to restart between producing the section table of contents and the section, even when producing a PDF file. People around here do not consider the first page of the table of contents to be the first page of the section. Solution would be to allow a separate TOC PDF file to be produced, separate from the actual section.

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

02-015 REFERENCE MANAGER AUTO UPDATE ADDRESS

SECTION

Submitter: CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

SI Recommendation

This would be a good enhancement to implement and would save a considerable amount of time on the production end of a job. This should be implemented at the same time as 1620 #02-011 and #02-015.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Add the capability to the Reference Wizard / update a new Reference Organization and automatically update the Address Section (01420). There is not process in place that makes this functionality easy. All updates must be done manually which makes this process complicated, step intensive and time **Programming Estimate:** consuming.

Board Comments

Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002

Date Completed: Assigned Rating: 4

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

Add a new functionality to the Reference Wizard to Create New Reference Organizations and automatically "Update Address Section".

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM Page 8 of 29

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

02-016 AUTOMATIC SUBMITTAL ARTICLE / REGISTER

GENERATION

Submitter: JOE LOONEY

SI Recommendation

We recommend going with Joe's first solution to provide tag attributes that will support the automatic generation of the Submittal Article. This would be a very beneficial feature for both the Master Text Preparer's as well as your user community.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Have SPECSINTACT generate the SUBMITTALS paragraph (as well as the SUBMITTAL REGISTER).

This will provide a method to encourage project specification writers to edit the list of submittals.

Board Comments

06/13/02 - Low Priority

Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002 Date Completed: Assigned Rating: 5 Programming Estimate:

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

Currently, the spec-preparer must manually edit submittals and items under submittals. Often times, since this paragraph is at the beginning of the section, it is skipped over as editing begins, and then forgotten. In the process, provisions have been removed from the section, but corresponding submittal items in the SUBMITTALS paragraph have not. The result is conflicting requirements in the spec.

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

02-019 AUTOMATIC GENERATION OF REFERENCE ARTICLE FOR

JOBS

Submitter: CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

SI Recommendation

We recommend this feature be implemented for the processing of jobs. It would simplify this process considerably for our users and save support time for the Technical Support Desk.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Currently the process to Generate New Reference Articles in Section, is only available in Masters. In order to do this on a Job using the UFGSREF Master is confusing and time consuming to the users. Recommend adding a new feature to Process \setminus Reference Processing for Job \setminus Reconciliation to update Reference Article.

Board Comments

Deferred for further consideration

Submitter's Recommendation

Add new feature to Process \setminus Reference Processing for Job \setminus Reconciliation to "Update Reference Articles". This would update all references found in the section as well as the UMRL, but leave the references that were not found in the UMRL intact.

Board Reviewed - Nov 21, 2002

Date Completed: Assigned Rating: 2

Programming Estimate: 4 months

Version:

Title of Prob/Requirements **Control Number**

PRINTING REPORT NOT SELECTED 02-020

Submitter: JOE LOONEY

SI Recommendation

This would be a good enhancement to implement. The users should not be forced to get reports when none has been selected.

Additional Notes

7/26/02, 10:14:26 AM - FITZSCL - There are several approved 1620s that should be worked in conjunction with this enhancement, all having to do with report changes.

This would be a good enhancement to the Software, but is not a high priority.

991013; 001008; 001023and 01-035.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

When Processing & Printing a job with the reconciliation process and no reports have been selected in the print dialog box, the Verification Reports are printed anyway.

Date Completed: Assigned Rating: Programming Estimate:

Board Comments

A report should be generated to let the user decided whether or not they would like to make the necessary corrections.

Submitter's Recommendation

If no reports are selected, then don't print them. It's OK to generate the report if necessary, but since they have a different file extension, have the software only print the .prn files.

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - Nov 21, 2002

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

GENERATING NEW REFERENCE ARTICLES IN SECTIONS 02-025

Submitter: CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

When using Process / Reference Processing for selected..../ Generate New Reference Articles in Sections feature, the references are re-generated within the sections and placed in alphabetic order by the Organization Name. When the Reference Id's are added they are not in numerical order. For example if **Programming Estimate:** NFPA 70 and NFPA 101 were used in the body of the section and the processing was done, in the Reference Article NFPA 101 would be listed before NFPA 70. This should be worked with change request number 03-036 in the section editor, and the reference wizard.

Board Comments

This would be a good feature, but not a high priority.

Board Reviewed - Nov 21, 2002 **Date Completed:**

Assigned Rating:

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Called Tara to receive her input. **Additional Notes**

SI Recommendation

Submitter's Recommendation

When processing and re-generating new Reference Articles in the Sections sort the Reference IDs by Alphabetic order according to the Acronym but also by numeric order. This is the way the References are typically ordered in the Sections.

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM

Page 10 of 29

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

02-032 EXPAND THE JOB NAME BEYOND 8 CHARACTERS

Submitter: JOHN GROBOSKI

SI Recommendation

This is a good enhancement, but will take time. The 8 character limitations are embedded in multiple locations. If accepted, we do suggest making a limitation, to be determined by the board.

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

02-036 MOVING THE CHANGE REQUEST FROM LOCATION

Submitter: JED DIXON

SI Recommendation

We recommend working in conjunction with 02-037 and allow users to optionally specify a single location for these files. If no location is specified then we would continue to use the default working directory.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

We are in the process of developing a directory structure to store project information. The two main documents are plans and specifications. We would like our plans to follow the file naming convention established by the National CADD Standards. Which allows for up to 28 characters to define the drawing. A portion of the file name will be the project code, which is a unique 10 character code for all of the projects in the District. It would be nice if we could name the job in SpecsIntact with the same project code. I understand that the reason you are limiting the job names is to be compatible with your 16 bit application. However, I am not sure why you would need to be backwards compatible. A *sec file created with your 16 bit application should be able to be read by the 32 bit application. There is no reason for someone to continue to work in the 16 bit application when the software is free.

Board Comments

With a new limitation of 16 characters.

Submitter's Recommendation

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

We use multiple working directories for jobs and masters, but the Change Request Form wants to save newly created change requests to whichever default working directory is selected in the Working Directory tool. As a result I have Change Request forms scattered across three directories, and must manually consolidate them to one. This utility could be improved by coding which allows selection of a default location for change requests, and/or a drop-down list of locations to select from. Since change requests are usually submitted by an individual, maybe a location on that person's C: drive would make sense. On the other hand, using a network location would give the option to make the requests viewable by all concerned.

Board Comments

Submitter's Recommendation

Board Reviewed - Nov 21, 2002 Date Completed: Assigned Rating: Programming Estimate:

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - Nov 21, 2002

Date Completed: Assigned Rating: Programming Estimate:

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM

Title of Prob/Requirements **Control Number**

MOVE THE TEMPLATES INTO ONE LOCATION 02-037

Submitter: JED DIXON

SI Recommendation

We recommend working in conjunction with 02-036 and allow users to optionally specify a single location for these files. If no location is specified then we would continue to use the default working directory

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

MODIFICATION TO PROPERITIES SCHEDULE TAB -03-001

REVIEW STATUS

Submitter: CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

SI Recommendation

Allowing the flexibility of customizable completion percentages without changing the purpose or size of the Amendment level field would be beneficial.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

We use multiple working directories for jobs and masters, but the SI Documents tool wants to save newly created templates to whichever default working directory is selected in the Working Directory tool. As a result I have

Programming Estimate: templates scattered across three directories, and must manually consolidate them to one. Currently, when we create a new job we must browse to the location of the template, instead of having all master documents appear at a default location when the templates tab is selected. This utility could be improved by coding which allows selection on the Templates tab of a default location for templates and/or a drop-down list of locations to select from. Also, since master templates must be available to anyone creating a job, the best location to default to would be that location where the primary master is located (which in our case is our Alaska master).

Board Comments

Submitter's Recommendation

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Currently on the Job Properties dialog box, Schedule Tab the user wants to track the level of submissions using PDF and has the option to select None, 30%, 60%, 90%, Final or Bid. While working with the customers I have found this set criteria doesn't always fit the jobs requirements. They often have to use the Amendment Levels to type in the percentage of the job, such as 55% or 95%. The Amendment field was designed for A, B. C., etc.

Board Comments

Start out at level (blank) instead of A, do not supply a default value, and check for any blank levels when saving.

Submitter's Recommendation

Recommend changing the Percentage field from a radio button selection to one that can be filled in by the user to reflect the percentage of their submission.

Board Reviewed - Nov 21, 2002 **Date Completed:**

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Assigned Rating:

Date Completed:

Programming Estimate: 1-2 weeks

Board Reviewed - Jul 23, 2003

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM Page 12 of 29

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

03-007 DLL AND OCX VERSION FACILITATOR

Submitter: KEN TICHY

SI Recommendation

This would be a very helpful tool for the SpecsIntact Technical Support Team as well as the users. It also saves the Development Team a lot of time when having to manually update this list. Would require approximately 4-5 weeks of development time.

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

03-016 SUBMITTAL REGISTER XXXX4288.TXT FILE

Submitter: CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

SI Recommendation

This would be moderately complex change to the SpecsIntact software, and it makes a lot of sense to incorporate. Non-army users don't see this file -- it is transparent to users who do not have a separate program (RMS) to generate the Submittal Register. However, the new Submittal Register Program will make the 4288.txt file more visible, as we will allow users to open it from the new SASR program.

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

03-023 MODIFICATION TO THE MTOC AND MASTER INDEX

FILES

Submitter: JIM QUINN

SI Recommendation

This would be an excellent enhancement both for Job Tables of Contents and for the Master Shelf and Scope Documents. This should be optional for both Jobs and Masters, as not all Masters use or require the Preparing Activity.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Create application, either as a standalone or SpecsIntact tool that would generate a list of DLLs, OCXs, and other files with a version that needs to be viewed by users and the Technical Support Team. This extension could also send an e-mail with the information directly to the Technical Support personnel where it can automatically be compared with their lists of versions to quickly identify version mismatches.

Board Comments

Recommended researching for a third party software that do this before writing our own,

Submitter's Recommendation

This would automate and substitute our need to generate file lists with versions that need to be "eyeballed" (inefficient) and updated every time one of the DLL or OCX files change.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

When producing the Submittal Register through SpecsIntact using the UFGS Master, the .TXT generated is "NAVY4288.txt" even though the Submittal Register Format for the Job is UFGS. This has been confusing to the Army personnel who must use the Navy4288.txt file to import into RMS.

Board Comments

Suggested name subreg.txt

Submitter's Recommendation

When the Jobs Submittal Register Format is UFGS modify the filename to be "UFGS4288.txt".

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

SHELF.DOC file and SCOPE.DOC file do not include the Preparing Activity column as included in the SpecsIntact browser and the HTML file and the TXT file generated by the Maintenance Program.

Board Comments

-

Submitter's Recommendation

Add Preparing Activity column to the SHELF.DOC file and SCOPE.DOC file.

Board Reviewed - Jul 23, 2003

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 4 weeks

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - Jul 23, 2003

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 4 weeks

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - Jul 23, 2003

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Version:

Title of Prob/Requirements **Control Number**

03-030 ADDITIONAL FEATURE FOR PDF PUBLISH

Submitter: CAROLYN KOWALSKI

SI Recommendation

Our recommendation would be to provide users with the option to include the quality assurance reports in the publish.pdf file.

Additional Notes

10/9/2003, 11:59:15 AM - FITZSCL - Example of output located on S:\General\1620 Info\03-030\Specifications.pdf

Control Number

Title of Prob/Requirements

03-033 DOUBLE SIDED PRINTING

Submitter: BOB MILLER

SI Recommendation

This would be a good enhancement the technical support team has received multiple requests for this feature.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

At present we are required to submit our Job to the client in SpecsIntact and PDF Formats. We also are required to submit the PDF in a Combined format including the SI Quality Control Reports along with a Report Statement.

Board Comments

Very low priority; no schedule completion at this time,

Submitter's Recommendation

Add new option/functionality to the PDF Publish features to combine and bookmark the SI QA Reports with the "Combined processed sections into one PDF document" as well as bookmark the Report Titles. This would be a very

helpful addition to the existing feature.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Allow for double sided printing. Modify print routine to add blank page to end of any section (PTOC, STOC, section) if printed section has an odd number of pages. A Blank page should say "Intentionally Left Blank" in the center of the page. An enhancement to this would be to add a selection to the **Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks** print options for double sided printing. If selected, blank page added, if not, prints without blank page.

Board Comments

Submitter's Recommendation Add a blank page to a section, if it has an odd number of pages, when using

double sided printing.

Board Reviewed - Feb 04, 2004

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Assigned Rating:

Board Reviewed - Feb 04, 2004

Date Completed:

Version:

Title of Prob/Requirements Control Number

CHANGE TAGS TO REPRESENT FUNCTION 03-035

Submitter: CHERYL MANSFIELD

SI Recommendation

Affects XML schema, and software. Increases complexity until old tags are no longer present in any Sections, at which time the older tags can be removed. This might take 5 years or more. Current tag count 54.

Tech support really likes the idea, we feel it would be a good enhancement to help users when they have their tags turned on and trying to determine which tags they have selected.

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

04-004 GENERATE A SUBMITTAL REGISTER FOR RMS

Submitter: STEVEN FREITAS

SI Recommendation

Tech support likes this ides. Maybe a menu item to e-mail the submittal register. This would keep users from going into windows explorer and finding the file under the print data to attach as an e-mail. This feature is very similar to the right click menu option to e-mail individual sections.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

In writing our new guide, it occurred to me that our four HL# tags seem unnecessarily confusing, especially to new users. I checked with the tech support staff, and they knew of no reason for this system for identifying these support staff, and they knew of no reason for this system for identifying these Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks on screen, we now have one more potential confusion.

Board Comments

Board Reviewed - Feb 04, 2004

Date Completed:

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

I would recommend that these tags be changed to actually represent their function: UND for underline, ITL for italics, BLD for bold, and CTR for center. So that backward compatibility could be maintained, the software should be able to recognize both tag for each designation.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

SpecsIntact produces a submittal register in PDF format, which lists the submittal by descriptions. This type of register is not easily read by RMS, which is mandated to have the submittals listed by type. SpecsIntact does provide a UFGS4288.txt file that could be used, but requires knowledge of the working directories to find the file.

Board Comments

Board Reviewed - Feb 04, 2004

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

Provide a Menu option to send the ufgs4288.txt file as an email attachment to the RMS user.

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

04-006 CUSTOMIZE TAGS BAR ENHANCEMENT

Submitter: CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

SI Recommendation

Customizing the SI Editors Tags Bar would be a good enhancement and would simplify this process considerably for users. Recommend leaving the existing interface intact and adding a new tab in the Editor's Options dialog box for customizing all tag bar buttons at once.

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

04-012 AUTOMATICALLY CHECK TYPED RIDS AND AUTOMATE

ADDRESS SECTION UPDATING

Submitter: JIM WHITEHEAD

SI Recommendation

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

At present when you want to select which buttons you want to view on the TAGSBAR you can only make one selection at a time. This step must be repeated multiple times when selecting more than one button to view/not view.

Board Comments

Board Reviewed - Feb 04, 2004

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

Suggest changing the Customize Tags Bar interface to a List in which you can make multiple selections of buttons at one time.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

The Editor now provides excellent automated RID checking and updating of the Section Reference Article and the Supplemental Reference List. This feature is automatic when entering RIDs via the Reference Wizard, but not when typing RIDs manually. This forces users to remember to use the Editor's "Check Reference" command. Also, we don't have a way to update the Address Section for new Reference Organizations.

Board Comments

Submitter's Recommendation

1) The SI Editor should automatically check any Reference Identifiers that a user updates manually. This optional feature should be enabled by default.
2) Provide a way to automate adding new Reference Orgs to the 01420 Reference Address Section (along with the existing functionality for automatically updating the Section Reference Article and Supp. Ref. List). This should work something like this:

(a) If a Reference is not in the Section Reference Article or the Master Reference List, look in the UMRL (if available, and if this was not already checked via previous Reference Checking actions). (b) If found in the UMRL, use this information as the default. Either way, allow the user to manually enter the Reference information including Reference Title, Organization, and Organization Address into a dialog box. (c) Then populate the user's Section Reference Article, Supplemental Reference List, and Reference Address Section (01420) with the supplied Reference information.

Board Reviewed - Feb 04, 2004

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM Page 16 of 29

Title of Prob/Requirements **Control Number**

NEED MORE CONSISTENT "MOUSE-OVER HELP" IN SI 04-021

Submitter: KEN TICHY

SI Recommendation

SpecsIntact recommends implementing this to help make the program more consistent and user friendly

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

04-025 EXECUTE REVISIONS ON SELECTED TEXT

Submitter: CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

SI Recommendation

Additional Notes

Control Number

SpecsIntact recommends this change, it will keep the software consistent with the removal or addition of the add or del tags

Title of Prob/Requirements 04-028 MAINTAIN CONCURRENY WITH ADOBE ACROBAT

Submitter: PATRICIA ROBINSON

SI Recommendation

SpecsIntact recommends implementing this change request so that we may test the

software with the latest Adobe software,

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

The backup/restore dialog box has a few very helpful and informative "mouse-over help" text on its controls. Ought to propagate this good practice throughout the entire application.

Date Completed:

Version:

Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 2 months

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - Jul 20, 2004

Board Comments

Make low priority

Submitter's Recommendation

Add additional mouse over help tips through out the application

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

When using Revisions in a Job, often times the users need the capability to highlight a certain amount of text and accept the changes. This feature is a lot like Microsoft Words feature to use the Track Changes feature to Accept or Reject Changes.

Board Comments

Board recommends deferring until next meeting.

Submitter's Recommendation

Add a New Feature to the SI Editor to provide the Users with the capability of Executing Revisions on Selected Text.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

The Adobe software is constantly changing, which could cause a potential software conflict with SpecsIntact.

Board Comments

Submitter's Recommendation

Page 17 of 29

Require current (latest) version of Adobe Acrobat to evaluate that it is properly operating with SpecsIntact when publishing to PDF.

Board Reviewed - Jan 26, 2005

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - Jul 20, 2004

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 1-2 weeks

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

04-029 ADJUST THE ADD SECTIONS DIALOG BOX

Submitter: JOE HUESMANN

SI Recommendation

We recommend simply making the form resizable, and saving the last size for use the next time (like we do for the Reference Wizard). That way, people could make the dialog as big as they want. Simply making the dialog bigger for everyone is not really a good idea, because it is already about 640 by 480, which is the size of some users' screens.

Additional Notes

6/8/2004, 9:20:09 AM - HORVAJR - Per Jim - The ability to drag and drop Sections from the SI Explorer makes this capability less critical, as you can easily see the titles in the SI Explorer before copying the Sections.

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

05-001 ADD PREPARING ACTIVITY COLUMN TO REPORTS

Submitter: JIM QUINN

SI Recommendation

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

When adding sections, the boxes are not large enough to read the entire section title.

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Board Reviewed - Jul 20, 2004

Board Reviewed - Jan 26, 2005

Date Completed:

Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate:

Board Comments Version:

This should be a low priority Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

For the Add Sections dialog, make the dialog box much wider so that the entire title of the section can be read without having to resort to the horizontal scroll bar.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

When running reports it would be nice to determine who the preparing agency is without going into each section.

Board Comments Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

Add preparing activity column to the following reports in jobs and masters

Section Verification Submittal Verification Bracket Verification Report (only necessary in Masters)

Would prefer for the preparing activity column to be placed between section and subpart.

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

05-002 AUTOMATIC UPDATE SRF

Submitter: JIM QUINN

SI Recommendation

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

05-003 ALLOW MASTER TOC TO USE SELECTED SECTIONS

Submitter: MARTHA MULLER

SI Recommendation

This would be a nice feature to implement, but a work around is available.

Work-around: Copy the selected Sections into a new temporary Job or Master, and then create the Project Table of Contents from this new project.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Currently there is not a way to automatically update the SRF throughout a job or master. This would help master prepares updating the sections if there was a way.

Board Comments

If the analysis comes back, and board approves enable renaming section in

the master.

Submitter's Recommendation

Make a change in the txt tags to enable automatic updating in the section reference file throughout the entire job or master.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Many times an engineer or architect needs specific sections from the UFGS or

NASA master, and would like to review the project table of contents.

Board Comments

This will become very useful in MasterFormat 04. Make software more consistent by allowing for both Jobs and masters.

Submitter's Recommendation

Allow the master table of contents to run on selected sections.

Board Reviewed - Jan 26, 2005

Date Completed:
Assigned Rating:
Programming Estimate:

Version: None

Change was: REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS

Board Reviewed - Jun 07, 2005

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Version:

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

06-004 Change Specifier to Designer in the Job Specifiers Box

Submitter: STEVEN FREITAS

SI Recommendation

Most of the recommendations would require trivial software changes. The last recommendation, allowing designers/specifiers for each Section in a project, would require the vast majority of the work.

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

06-005 Place Brackets Around Inserted Items

Submitter: STEVEN FREITAS

SI Recommendation

If approved, consider a similar change for part (PRT) tags, although these are used less frequently than subpart (SPT) tags.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Job Properties Dialog Box shows Specifier for the Job. Specifiers tab shows specifiers for each Division. Section Properties Dialog Box shows the Division Specifier from the Specifiers tab by default and it cannot be changed. Specifier is a term that the CSSC prefers that we avoid using have adopted the term "specification engineer" in ER 1110-2-8155. It also states the person responsible for each division should be referred to as the "designer."

Board Comments

Keep the word Specifier in the dialog box to accommodate Army, Navy and NASA. We do like the request to make each individual section have a Specifier, and feel that this would be a very useful feature

Submitter's Recommendation

Change the Job Properties Dialog Box to show Specification Engineer for the Job. Change the Specifiers tab to show Designers for each Division. Change the Section Properties Dialog Box to show the Division Designer from the Job Designers tab by default and allow it to be changed for each section as needed.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

When we use the SPT tool bar Icon to insert a new subpart: <SPT =1.1.1><TTL>1.1.1 Sub Title</TTL><TXT>Text</TXT></SPT =1.1.1>The "Text" can be selected by double clicking, but it takes some hand eye coordination to select the "Sub Title" for editing.

Board Comments

Submitter's Recommendation

Place brackets around the inserted items that need to be replaced so we can use the Bracket Replacement Wizard to select them for replacement.1.1.1 [Sub Title] [Text]

Board Reviewed - May 04, 2006

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 4 months

Version:

Change was: PARTIALLY ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - May 04, 2006

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: <7 days

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM Page 20 of 29

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

O6-006 Add a tag to Allow Cross Referencing of Subparts in a Section

Submitter: JOHN GROBOSKI

SI Recommendation

This is more complicated than it might initially appear. The complexity arises from issues related to changing subpart numbers, as new subparts are added and other deleted. Issues also arise because subpart numbers change during print processing (renumbering). Finally, reference subpart numbers violates the UFGS format standard.

As amended by Steve Freitas, the request is much more manageable.

Assumptions:

- 1) Bookmark tags would be used to refer only to paragraph titles within the same Section.
- 2) When a user double-clicked on a bookmark to a paragraph title, we would provide a hyperlink to the bookmarked paragraph in this same Section (if it existed). This would be similar to URL and SRF hyperlinks currently available in SI.
- 3) Possible implementations:
- a) All subpart titles would automatically be bookmark eligible (this would require bookmark tags only in the paragraph referencing the subpart title)
- b) Two separate tags, one for the paragraph title, and another to reference this bookmark eligible paragraph title.

The programming estimate is for Steve's amended recommendation. It might be slightly optimistic, depending on the implementation.

From Steve Freitas:

It is bad practice to refer only to a paragraph number. In fact the UFC 1-300-02 UNIFIED FACILITIES GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS (UFGS) FORMAT STANDARD, 2-2.6 Cross References, states the following:

When necessary to reference paragraphs within the same section, reference by paragraph title, not by paragraph number, e.g., paragraph EQUIPMENT.

So we could not provide a reference to the subpart number as you've requested. However, we could consider a bookmark tag on the subpart Title. This would be similar to the function of the hyperlink <URL> tag. We probably could actually use the <URL> tag and simply insert the bookmark reference in lieu of the hyperlink. A bookmark tag would need to be something like the following:

<SPT =1.2.1><TTL>1.1 <BMK>Sub Title</BMK></TTL>

It's purpose would be similar to the Submittal <SUB> and Reference Identifier <RID> tags.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

I would like to see a tag added to cross reference subparts in a section. For instance if your in 2.3 Interlock Sealant and you want to refer to 3.1.3 Flap Gate Installation. It would be awesome if you could state. "Refer to <tag> Subpart 3.1.3 </tag>.

Board Comments

Steve and Sheron will do an analysis to see how often this occurs.

Board Reviewed - May 04, 2006

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 5-6 weeks

Version:

Change was: REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS

Submitter's Recommendation

Consider a bookmark tag on the subpart Title. This could use the tag and simply insert the bookmark reference in lieu of the hyperlink. A bookmark tag could be something like the following: 1.1 <BMK>Sub Title</BMK>It's purpose would be similar to the Submittal and Reference Identifier tags.

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM Page 21 of 29

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

06-011 Show Revision when Using Rename Section

Submitter: MARTHA MULLER

SI Recommendation

This is recommended for implementation as submitter requested.

Additional Notes

11/3/2006, 2:22:13 PM - HORVAJR - This change request was originally deferred on 05/04/2006, then accepted at the 11/2/06 board meeting.

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

06-012 Print Both ENG and MET Elements of a Job

Submitter: ALICE BUTLER

SI Recommendation

This is a frequent request from users, and implementing this change request would save users a considerable amount of time.

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

06-017 REPLACE THE SASR BY USING EXCEL OR XML

Submitter: PATRICIA ROBINSON

SI Recommendation

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Right now the only way you can show revisions when renaming a section is by editing the section manually.

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Board Reviewed - Nov 02, 2006

Board Comments Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Date Completed:

Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: <7 days

Change was: ACCEPTED

Version:

Submitter's Recommendation

If "Use Revisions" is selected under the Option Tab in Job Properties, allow the software to insert "Revisions" into the Banner as well as the Section Number and between Section Reference tags when renaming a section.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Explorer: File/Process & Print/Publish - This group has a need to be able to print both ENG and MET elements of a Job. They have been deleting all tags for MET and then printing with ENG selected. This takes a lot of time.

Board Comments

Need to add a disclaimer releasing Specsintact from the liability of using both Metric and English measurements in the same job.

Submitter's Recommendation

Give user the option of selecting BOTH English and Metric measurements in a job when processing to print/publish.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Replace the Stand Alone Submittal Register (DOS version) and the Submittal Tracker (Beta version)

Board Comments

Submitter's Recommendation

Replace the Stand Alone Submittal Register (DOS version) and the Submittal Tracker (Beta version) by using Excel or XML

Board Reviewed - Nov 02, 2006

Board Reviewed - May 04, 2006

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate:

Version:

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

06-018 Add Comments To The Section Properties

Submitter: CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

SI Recommendation

When working on jobs many customers manually keep status information for each section on paper. This information is not easily kept with the electronic copy of a job. This change request would allow all of the information to be kept together.

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

06-020 Save Tailoring Options

Submitter: STEVEN FREITAS

SI Recommendation

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

06-021 Add Pop Up Dialog Box When New Section is Added to a Job

Submitter: STEVEN FREITAS

SI Recommendation

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Due to the workflow process, it would be useful to track comments regarding the workflow of Sections as we now do for Jobs. Presently in SpecsIntact you can only assign specifiers and comments to a Division, not an individual Section. When Sections are being reviewed by several people within an organization it would be very useful to the person responsible for the administration of the project to allow the comments to be retained in the Section Properties screen for tracking that particular Section and its workflow process. These comments will not be retained if the Section is pulled into another Job.

Board Comments

Submitter's Recommendation

Add the capability to allow comments to be retained in the Section Properties dialog box.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

SpecsIntact doesn't save tailoring options that have been deselected on the job. When sections are overwritten or when other sections are added with the same tailoring options, the users may not choose the same options for the later **Programming Estimate: 3 months**

Board Comments

Submitter's Recommendation

Have SpecsIntact remember selected tailoring options in the job properties with option to apply them to sections added to the job at a later time. The user would be prompted for confirmation on the selections.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

When sections are added to the job, it is difficult to determine if the new sections have tailored options or not, without going through each section, especially for new users.

Board Comments

Submitter's Recommendation

1. Have the tailoring options dialog box pop up after sections that contain tailoring options are added to the job.

2. Have the tailoring options dialog box pop up after sections that contain tailoring options are opened for editing.

Board Reviewed - Nov 02, 2006

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 3 months

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - Nov 02, 2006

Date Completed:

Version:

Change was: REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS

Board Reviewed - Nov 02, 2006

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 2 months

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM Page 23 of 29

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

07-003 Add Feature to Scroll all Tiled Windows Synchronously in SI

Editor

Submitter: STEVEN FREITAS

SI Recommendation

We would like to implement the vertical window tiling in the next Specsintact release, which is the primary request, but the secondary portion of the original request is not recommended due to the extended programming time.

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

7-004 Add New Column to the Front End

Submitter: PETER SMITH

SI Recommendation

We feel this feature would be very beneficial to the technical proponents, and the clerical support teams for Architect and Engineer firms in keeping them up to date in a glance at the status of a section.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

It would be of great benefit when comparing files to be able to synchronize the scrolling of the files.

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 6 months

Board Reviewed - May 01, 2007

Change was: PARTIALLY ACCEPTED

Board Comments Version:

Implement Vertical Tile Only

Submitter's Recommendation

Add the feature to allow synchronized scrolling of the tiled files.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Give the user an option to add a column that can be filled completed with text; such that the user can add a short note or identify which sections have been "completed".

Board Comments

Submitter's Recommendation

Modify the column headers to add a column to the font end of SpecsIntact that allows the user to enter free text

Board Reviewed - May 01, 2007

Date Completed: Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 5-6 weeks

Version:

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

07-005 Identify Section Reference (SRFs) Within Notes For Masters Only

Submitter: CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

SI Recommendation

Allowing the Section Verification Report to recognize Section References found within Notes will be extremely useful to Database Managers when attempting to locate Section References throughout the UFGS. At this point and time they cannot use the automated features in SpecsIntact to locate the Section References that are located within the notes therefore, this must be done manually. The feature to allow SRFs within notes was recently added to indicate SRF that were already called out in the Notes, but the reports do not recognize them. With the unification of the UFGS and the migration to MF04 this would be a huge time-saving feature for the Database Managers.

To perform quality assurance on the UFGS Master database, we recommend that all agencies insert the section reference tag within the technical notes to replace, if necessary any new section number and/or title.

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

941020 BETTER TABLE HANDLING

Submitter: CARL KERSTEN

SI Recommendation

Highly recommended.

Additional Notes

9/23/99 - Split projected hours evenly between Jim & New Hire - 720/720

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Identify the Section Verification Report to recognize Section References within Notes for "Masters Only".

Board Comments

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Date Completed:

Assigned Rating:

Programming Estimate: 1-2 weeks

Board Reviewed - May 01, 2007

Submitter's Recommendation

Identify capability to the Section Verification Report for Masters to recognize SRFs within Notes.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Need to be able to use Layout 7 for other than Tables. some paragraphs and notes have info that needs to be in "table" (or "column") format though they are not true tables and the columns do not have headers. SGML prevents this.

Programming Estimate: 1 year

Board Comments

Approved for an R/A. - R/A Accepted for implementation in 32-bit software.

Over Come by Events

Submitter's Recommendation

Revise the "Rules" of the Section.INI file to allow the use of Layout 7 in paragraph text and in notes, without having to insert "artificial" table headers. Board Reviewed - Nov 13, 1994

Date Completed:

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM Page 25 of 29

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

961011 LINK CAD AND SPECSINTACT

Submitter: GARY COLEHAMER

SI Recommendation

Additional Notes

Control Number

Title of Prob/Requirements

PRINT SELECTED SGML TAGS IN MASTER SECTION

Submitter: JOE LOONEY

SI Recommendation

10/27/98 - Have received numerous requests since the Board rejected it, especially from Master Text preparer's, that this option be at least incorporated into the Masters Print Options. We are, therefore, re-opening it for further consideration as we feel it is an important option.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Link Cad to SPECSINTACT

Board Reviewed - Oct 24, 1996 Date Completed: Assigned Rating: Programming Estimate:

Board Comments Version:

Implement for both CAD and Intergraph

Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

Modify SI software accordingly.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

We believe many (probably most) A/E firms mark-up hard copies and give to a typist for processing. When printing hard copies of guide/master sections for a project to be marked-up (red-lined), there are currently two options for printing the SGML tags; print all tags or print no tags. The person performing the red-lining needs to see some of the tags, but if all are printed the page is very cluttered and somewhat confusing. When tags are printed, NOTES do not stand out because tags are printed instead of the rows of asterisks. If tags are not printed, the user cannot identify submittal items, references, or test requirements in the text. They often need to identify changes as well. When English and metric units are included, the user may need these identified too.

Board Comments

A prototype will be presented at the next board meeting. Prototype demonstrated at April 1997 meeting and Board rejected it. 11/17/98 - Re-opened and took it back to the Board for re-consideration due to numerous user requests for it.

Submitter's Recommendation

Provide additional option for selecting tags to be included in the hard copy printout of master text sections. It may be better to devise a convention for using different fonts or text styles to identify items rather than using the tags in the printed text to reduce the clutter. There should be no need to show the following tags: AST, DTE, END, HDR, HL1, HL2, HL3, HL4, ITM, ITM INDENT=, LST, NED, NTE, NPR, OAD, ORG, PRT, REF, TRL, SCN, SEC, STL, SBM, SPT, SBS, SPS, TBL and THD (after tables are better developed), TXT, TTL, and &INC.

Board Reviewed - Nov 17, 1998

Date Completed:
Assigned Rating: 2

Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks

Version:

Change was: PARTIALLY ACCEPTED

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM Page 26 of 29

Title of Prob/Requirements Control Number

PROVIDE AUTOMATIC RE-LETTERING 981008

Submitter: GLENN KATO

SI Recommendation

Recommend R/A. This feature would benefit many users. A comprehensive solution with new tags that provided automatic lettering/numbering would be best, but would be somewhat time-consuming to implement. Adding such functionality could also position us to better support other types of specification formats.

Additional Notes

11/12/98 - Have New Hire research R/A & program print part - Jim will program Editor part. NOTE: Would only re-letter new documents.

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

ADD FLEXIBILITY TO THE SECTION TOC 981012

Submitter: DOUG LARSEN

SI Recommendation

This would probably be worthwhile, and fairly simple to implement. Also implement with Control #981017.

Additional Notes

6/28/02, 11:06:07 AM - FITZSCL - Refer to 981017

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

981017 EXTEND FUNCTIONALITY OF THE CREATION OF THE

Submitter: DAVID MALTBY

SI Recommendation

Recommend implementing this additional functionality to the PTOC and with Control #981012.

Additional Notes

The board directed SPECSINTACT to generate this 1620 at the November 1998 meeting.

6/28/02, 11:10:44 AM - FITZSCL - Refer to 981012.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Add an enhancement to re-letter a, b, c's....

Date Completed: Assigned Rating: 1 Programming Estimate: 5 months

Board Comments Version:

11/17/98 - Army did not concur. Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

The Section TOC reports more information than what is needed on a regular basis. Allow users to select the number of levels shown on the TOC.

Example: 2 levels vs. 3 or 4 levels.

Board Comments

Approved.

Submitter's Recommendation

Re-code the software to allow users to select the depth of detail on the Section TOC (such as showing only 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 as opposed to 2.1, 2.1.1, 2.1.2,

2.2, 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3, 2.3.1, 2.3.2, etc.)

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Extend the functionality of the creation of Project TOC for Jobs. Currently the PTOC lists the Divisions and the Section Numbers with Section Title. Allow the user the option of selecting to include any Subpart level (1 - 4) under the Section. The Subpart Title will be displayed and Subpart levels will **Programming Estimate: 3-4 weeks**

be indented like the Section TOC is now.

Board Comments

Approved.

Submitter's Recommendation

Include Subpart levels in the Project Table of Contents in addition to the

Section Number and Title

Board Reviewed - Nov 17, 1998

Board Reviewed - Nov 17, 1998

Date Completed: Assigned Rating: 5

Programming Estimate: 1-2 weeks

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - Nov 17, 1998

Date Completed: Assigned Rating: 5

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM Page 27 of 29

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

981019 ALLOW EDITING OF SD REGISTER FOOTER

Submitter: MARTHA MULLER

SI Recommendation

Incorporate into the 32-bit rewrite of the Stand-alone Submittal Register Program.

Additional Notes

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

991001 ABILITY TO GET TOTAL PAGE COUNT PER

SECTION/PROJECT

Submitter: MARTHA MULLER

SI Recommendation

This is a feature the DOS Version (1.4) offered the users. At their request they would like this feature incorporated into the current version.

If approved, it is our recommendation to bring this feature back and build it into future releases of the 32-bit software.

Additional Notes

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Add capability to modify and/or create a "2-line footer" in the Stand-alone Submittal Register Program.

_

Board Comments

Approved as recommended by SPECSINTACT

Submitter's Recommendation

Add a Function Key to allow users to create/modify a footer. At present the stand-alone only allows you to modify the Header (F4).

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

The SI help desk has received this request from several customers. Add the ability to get the total number of pages per section and also per project without going in and opening/retrieving every section.

Board Comments

Deferred until the next SI-CCB Meeting.

Re presented at the November 21, 2002 meeting for the board. The board approved this change request with a low priority.

Submitter's Recommendation

Generate a report that would allow the users to view/print a report that generates the total page count for the Sections, STOC, PTOC and Total page count for the entire project.

Example: 08310 25 01000 10

STOC 2

PTOC 6

TOTAL: 43

Board Reviewed - Dec 07, 1999

Date Completed:
Assigned Rating: 5

Programming Estimate: 2 months

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - Nov 21, 2002

Date Completed:
Assigned Rating: 5

Programming Estimate: 6 months

Version:

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

991007 AUTOMATIC GENERATION SUBMITTAL REGISTER

Submitter: MARTHA MULLER

SI Recommendation

During the processing of the Submittal Register and the Submittal Verification Report, if problems exist on the Verification Report, pause the processing and allow the user to choose to continue processing or generate the error report. Recommend this feature be incorporated into future releases of the 32-bit version of SPECSINTACT as a new enhancement.

Additional Notes

05/23/00 - CLF: Spoke w/Jim Whitehead in reference to this 1620. Include all "Verification Reports" in this change request.

Control Number Title of Prob/Requirements

991013 MODIFY PRINT PROCESS FOR THE SUBMITTAL

VERIFICATION REPORT

Submitter: KARENA SAMUEL

SI Recommendation

In order not to cause additional confusion to our users, we recommend that if no errors exist on the Submittal Verification Report that the report will not print. If errors are found on the Submittal Verification Report give the user the capability of pausing the process in order to print just the Report and not the Submittal Register. This should be worked in conjunction with #991007, since both 1620's have been submitted to enhance this process. This should be incorporated into the 32-bit version of SPECSINTACT as a new enhancement/feature.

Additional Notes

7/26/02, 10:16:18 AM - FITZSCL - There are several approved 1620s that should be worked in conjunction with this enhancement, all having to do with report changes.

001008; 001023; 01-035 and 02-020

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

When creating the print files for the submittal register, an automatic "Submittal Verification Results" report is printed along with the submittal register. Errors related to submittal descriptions, submittal items and classifications will be listed on this report. However, it doesn't do any good because the submittal register continues to print before you even have the option to fix the errors. It's a waste of paper and time because you will still need to go back into the job, fix the errors then reprint the Submittal Register.

Board Comments

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Board Reviewed - Dec 07, 1999

Date Completed:

Assigned Rating: 3

Programming Estimate: 6 months

Submitter's Recommendation

When creating the print files for the Submittal Register, if there are errors on the Submittal Verification Report, stop the process, flag the user that there are errors and do not print the Submittal Register. Give them a chance to fix the errors first.

Explanation of Problem/Requirement

When we finish a Job and we get it ready for the Electronic Biddset (EBS), it is really irritating to have to go through all the submittals and pull out the verification reports. Can you make this an optional choice, instead of automatically printing the Submittal Verification Report w/the Submittal Register, print it whenever we want?

Board Comments

Board Reviewed - Dec 07, 1999

Date Completed: Assigned Rating: 3

Programming Estimate: 6 months

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

Submitter's Recommendation

This should be an option instead of automatically printing out whenever we want the Submittal Register.

Printed: Nov 08, 2007 at 2:57:22PM Page 29 of 29